# The Search for Dual Active Galactic Nuclei

Dr. Adi Foord Porat Postdoctoral Fellow, Stanford University "Evidence for supermassive black hole binaries" April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023

# Mergers are believed (*by some*) to play an important role in AGN fueling and growth

T = 0 Myr





April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord 💦

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

www.AdiFoord.com

### Dual AGN are unique observational flags of mergerdriven SMBH growth



Supermassive black hole pairs are a result of galaxy mergers, where each galaxy has its own central SMBH

"Dual SMBH" =

- SMBH pair at kpc-scale separations
- not yet gravitationally bound
- system is losing energy and decreasing separation via dynamical friction

"Dual AGN" = actively accretion dual SMBH

# We are still trying to quantify the population of dual AGN



April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord

# We are still trying to quantify the population of dual AGN



April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord

# We are still trying to quantify the population of dual AGN



April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord

# Before 2010, only a handful of dual AGN were known ...

Most dual AGN were serendipitous discoveries, or follow-up X-ray/Radio observations of known galaxy mergers



NGC 6240 (Komossa+2003) X-ray confirmation



MRK 463 (Bianchi+2008) X-ray confirmation

MRK 739 (Koss+2011) X-ray confirmation

MadiFoord @

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

# SDSS: Large spectroscopic searches change the field

With the advent of large spectroscopic surveys of galaxies, like SDSS, the number of dual AGN candidates exponentially increased

foord@stanford.edu



🔰 @AdiFoord

Sifting through available SDSS spectra ,100s of double peaked found in SDSS spectral archives

Check out: Wang+2009, Comerford+2009, Smith+2010, Liu+2010

www.AdiFoord.com

April 14th, 2023 ||

# SDSS: Large spectroscopic searches change the field



**Spatially resolved** spectroscopy shows locations are coincident with galactic nuclei (Check out: Gerke+2007, Comerford+2009, Comerford+2012)

April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord 🛛 🖂 foord@stanford.edu

# Double peaked emitters: dual AGN or outflows?

Even for spatially resolved double peaked sources, follow-up observations showed that the majority were likely single AGN ....



Follow-up with VLA on 18 dual AGN candidates show that 5 are dual AGN (7 are AGN wind-driven outflows, 5 are radio-jet driven outflows, and 1 is rotating narrow-line region)

April 14th, 2023 ||



Many sources have [O III] lines have nearly equal intensities, and line ratios are more similar to each other, suggesting a single ionizing source (and are inconsistent with a binary scenario)

🄰 @AdiFoord

### Candidates to direct detection: follow-up is necessary

Directly detecting radio emission from each SMBH is one the best ways to confirm closely separated dual AGN



The typical mas-scale angular resolution achievable with VLBI networks allows directly resolve as small as ~1 pc in the local Universe and ~ 10 pc at any redshift.

0402+379 at 8 GHz. Components C1 and C2 correspond to the two radio nuclei at projected separation of 7.3 pc

# X-rays are great rays to find dual AGN (& high-resolution is the best!)







NGC 6240 (Komossa+2003) X-ray confirmation

MRK 463 (Bianchi+2008) X-ray confirmation

MRK 739 (Koss+2011) X-ray confirmation

# Resolving 2 X-ray point sources with X-ray luminosities >10<sup>41</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> can confirm any dual AGN candidates

April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

### X-rays are great rays to find dual AGN (& high-resolution is the best!)



#### With Chandra we can find the most closely separated systems

April 14th, 2023 ||

🥑 @AdiFoord

#### BAYMAX (Bayesian Analysis of Multiple AGN in X-rays) allows for statistical analyses on Chandra observations

**BAYMAX calculates the Bayes factor:** 

 $P(M|D) = \frac{\int P(D|\theta_1, M_1) P(\theta_1|M_1) d\theta_1}{\int P(D|\theta_2, M_2) P(\theta_2|M_2) d\theta_2} ,$ which represents the posterior odds or the



degree to which we favor one hypothesis over the other



🥑 @AdiFoord

**Bolded** simulations are the dual AGN

April 14th, 2023 ||

📨 foord@stanford.edu 🛛 🌐 www.AdiFoord.com

# BAYMAX (Bayesian AnalYsis of Multiple AGN in X-rays) allows for statistical analyses on *Chandra* observations



#### Analyzing AGN activity in nearby triple galaxy mergers



April 14th, 2023 ||

MadiFoord @

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

#### Analyzing AGN activity in nearby triple galaxy mergers



 $\searrow$ 

April 14th, 2023 ||

foord@stanford.edu

### Analyzing AGN activity in nearby triple galaxy mergers





April 14th, 2023 ||

MadiFoord @

foord@stanford.edu

# Gaia is making a mark in the dual AGN community

'Varstrometry' – where variability-induced astrometric jitter, i.e., temporal displacements of photocenter in unresolved sources, can be used to search for dual AGN.



🄰 @AdiFoord

April 14th, 2023 ||

# Gaia is making a mark in the dual AGN community

'Varstrometry' – follow up Hubble Space Telescope images shows multiple sources at most locations! Many are lenses, but some a likely dual AGN.



🔰 @AdiFoord

#### Gaia is making a mark in the dual AGN community 'Gaia Multipeak (GMP) method' – searching for the presence of multiple peaks in the observed 1D light profiles

"ipd\_frac\_multi\_peak" is Gaia catalogue parameter that gives the fraction of Gaia transits in which the object appears to have multiple peaks inside the photometric aperture



#### Gaia is making a mark in the dual AGN community 'Gaia Multipeak (GMP) method' – searching for the presence of multiple peaks in the observed 1D light profiles

#### Follow-up HST once again shows many pairs of sources at each location!

Confirmation requires a multiwavelength analysis.



April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

# BAYMAX has recently been used in a study on a varstrometry-identified dual AGN candidate



Discovered via "varstrometry" and confirmed via a multi-wavelength analysis (optical photometry and spectroscopy, radio imaging, IR imaging)

April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord 🛛 🌔

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

www.AdiFoord.com

#### Dual AGN are usually heavily obscured ...

s\_1)

(erg

10keV

L<sub>X,2</sub>-

бo

40

39

40



The fraction of Compton thick AGN hosted in late-merger galaxies is higher than in local hard X-ray selected AGN

Dual AGN have systematically lower hard X-Ray luminosities, at fixed [OIII]  $\lambda$ 5007 luminosity, than single AGN

40

42

(erg s<sup>-1</sup>) ]

Type 2 AGNs

βÌ

41

 $\log \left[ L_{[0 \parallel ] \lambda 5007} / (erg s^{-1}) \right]$ 

Φ

Q

42

40

April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord 🛛 🖂

Type 1 AGNs

41

log [ L[0 ||] λ5007

#### ... and prefer later-stage galaxy mergers



Dual AGN prefer closely separated, gas-rich environments. Late-stage major mergers are more likely to have dual AGN.

# Infrared observations can find the most heavily obscured mergers



High-resolution IR observations resolve two stellar cores in nearby galaxy mergers

Pre-selection in the mid-IR provides an efficient way to detect dual AGN in late-mergers

April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord

foord@stanford.edu

#### Large, systematic, X-ray based surveys are a **necessary** next step to understand how SMBHs grow & evolve

Cosmological simulations predict that the fraction of dual AGN increases with redshift

But, there is a mismatch between observations and simulations

There has yet to be a systematic, and observational, analysis of dual AGN:1) at high-redshift and2) as a function of redshift



🔰 @AdiFoord

foord@stanford.edu

# Large, systematic, X-ray based surveys are a **necessary** next step to understand how SMBHs grow & evolve



Brandon Sandoval Graduate student @ Caltech 2.5 < z< 3.5



Kalista Schauer Master's student @ Stanford 0.5 < z< 1.0



🄰 @AdiFoord

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

# Large, systematic, X-ray based surveys are a **necessary** next step to understand how SMBHs grow & evolve



Brandon Sandoval Graduate student @ Caltech 2.5 < z< 3.5



Kalista Schauer Master's student @ Stanford 0.5 < z< 1.0



April 14th, 2023 ||

🥑 @AdiFoord

🗹 foord@stanford.edu

www.AdiFoord.com

# Quantifying the Rate of high-redshift Dual AGN

Analyzing AGN from **COSMOS**, **X-UDS**, **AEGIS-XD**, & **CDFS**, we only include observations with:

- >50 counts (0.5 8 keV,  $10^{43}$  erg/sec for a source at z = 3.5)
- Off axis-angle < 5',
- 2.5 < z < 3.5

#### This results in a sample size of **66 sources**





#### Sandoval, Foord, Allen+2023



Brandon Sandoval

April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord

foord@stanford.edu

# Quantifying the Rate of high-redshift Dual AGN

We find 0/66 sources with significant Bayes factors in favor of the dual point source model!



# Sandoval, Foord, Allen+2023 COSMOS1 XUDS3

This is likely due to 1) small sample size, 2) low number of counts per source, 3) difficulty detecting duals at high OAA

🥑 @AdiFoord

#### Large, systematic, X-ray based surveys are a **necessary** next step to understand how SMBHs grow & evolve



April 14th, 2023 ||

🈏 @AdiFoord 🛛 🖂 foord@stanford.edu

#### Future high-resolution, large FOV, X-ray telescopes will find over an order of magnitude more AGN pairs than currently known

AXIS will discover > an order of magnitude more AGN pairs than currently capable with Chandra.

With AXIS, we expect to find hundreds to thousands of new dual AGN, allowing for population studies & modeling of dynamical friction merging stage



April 14th, 2023 ||

🄰 @AdiFoord 🛛 🚺

foord@stanford.edu

## Backup

70 nearby (z<0.037) AGN to analyze with BAYMAX

- 20/70 have archival *Chandra* observations
- 50/70 have received new *Chandra* observations



Analyzing this sample with BAYMAX, we are sensitive to dual AGN with physical separations **as** small as 14 pc and complete to separations larger than 250 pc











Primary point source 100 spectral realizations

Secondary point source 100 spectral realizations



*The physical separations between each dual AGN candidate span between 260 - 660 pc, and if confirmed will be some of the most closely separated dual AGN detected to date.* 

Foord et al. 2023, in prep

### Candidates to direct detection: follow-up is necessary

Directly detecting radio emission from each SMBH is one the best ways to confirm closely separated dual AGN



Care must also be taken when conducting only low-resolution or single-frequency measurements, **as AGN are expected to be compact & flat radio sources** 

### X-rays are great rays to find dual AGN (& high-resolution is the best!)



NGC 3393 (binned and smoothed Chandra data) Follow-up shows that NGC 3393 has extended radio emission and that binning and smoothing the Chandra PSF can result in a false positive!