Illustris cosmological simulation of the Universe

Evidence for supermassive black hole binaries RAS Specialist Discussion Meeting

# **Growth of Massive Black Holes over Cosmic Times**

Melanie Habouzit GLIESE & MPIA Postdoctoral Research Fellow Zentrum für Astronomie & Max Planck Institute für Astronomie Heidelberg, Germany Golden age for observing early BHs with light and gravitational wave messengers



Adapted from Volonteri, MH, Colpi (Nature Physics Reviews, 2021) and LISA Living Review (LISA Astrophysics Working Group, 2023)

Golden age for observing early BHs with light and gravitational wave messengers



Adapted from Volonteri, MH, Colpi (Nature Physics Reviews, 2021) and LISA Living Review (LISA Astrophysics Working Group, 2023)

### The population of massive black holes in the local Universe



Bower+16, McAlpine+18, Weinberger+18.

Galaxy formation involves highly nonlinear processes, which can be captured in large-scale cosmological hydrodynamical simulations.

### Illustris

Sijacki+15, Genel+14, Vogelsberger+14

## EAGLE

Schaye+15 Rosas-Guevara+15+16 McAlpine+17+18



**SIMBA** Davé, Anglés-Alcázar+17 Thomas+19

## Horizon-AGN

Dubois+14, Volonteri+16 TNG100 TNG300 Pillepich+18 Weinberger+18

Di Matteo+08, Dubois+14,+15, Genel+14, Vogelsberger+14, Hirschmann+14, Sijacki+15, Schaye+15, Rosas-Guevara+15+16, Volonteri+16, Feng+16, Habouzit+17, Peirani+17, Tremmel+17, Di Matteo+17, McAlpine+17+18, Weinberger+17,+18, Pillepich+18,19, Davé+19, Thomas+19, Ni+22.

#### Melanie Habouzit





Simulations produce different populations of BHs, not always in agreement with current observations.

*Habouzit+21* 



# Modeling of BH and galaxy subgrid physics strongly impact BH and galaxy co-evolution.

Habouzit+17+21, Dubois+15, Fontanot+15, Anglés-Alcázar+17, Bower+17

# Also responsible for number of AGN in low-mass galaxies. *Haidar, MH+22, Koudmani+21 (role of AGN feedback)*

#### Weaker SN feedback

Zoom-in (Dubois+15)



Habouzit+21



No consensus on the shape of the scaling relation

nor on its build-up with time, i.e. whether BHs are more massive at higher redshift.

<u>Observational constraints</u> (z<2,  $M_{star}$ >10<sup>10</sup>  $M_{sun}$ ): Shields+03, Jahnke+09, Mullaney+12, Schramm+13, Cisternas+11, Sun+15, Suh+19, but McLure+06, Ding+19+22.

### Constraining BH-galaxy co-evolution with James Webb

Melanie Habouzit

Habouzit, Onoue, Banados+22b



### Constraining BH-galaxy co-evolution with James Webb

Habouzit, Onoue, Banados+22b



#### Constraining BH-galaxy co-evolution with James Webb

*Habouzit, Onoue, Banados+22b* 



Characterizing <u>faint quasars</u> 1 Gyr after the Big Bang can constrain the build-up of the  $M_{BH}$ - $M_{star}$  relation.

## James Webb Space Telescope (JWST, near-IR/mid-IR)

#### What's next: Indirectly constraining the bulk of the BH population with faint quasars.

- > Theoretical predictions *Habouzit*, *Onoue*, *Eduardo+22b*.
- JWST GO1967 (PI: M. Onoue, incl. MH) Observation of 15 faint quasars 1 Gyr after the Big Bang.  $\succ$ (More precise BH mass measurements with Hbeta,  $M_{star}$  instead of  $M_{dyn}$ ).

J2236+0032

F150W

**PSF-star** 



## James Webb Space Telescope (JWST, near-IR/mid-IR)

What's next: Constraining the assembly of quasars with their environments.

- JWST GO2078 (PI: F. Wang, incl. MH) A spectroscopic survey of biased halos In the reionization era. 25 FoV at 6.5 < z < 6.8 Wang+23, Yang+23</p>
- > JWST GO1764 (PI: Xiaohui Fan, incl. MH) Observations of the 3 most distant quasars.







# AGN population across cosmic times

No calibration with AGN properties.  $\rightarrow$  predictions from the simulations!

*See Rosas-Guevara+16 for the EAGLE simulation.* 



Shaded regions combine the observational constraints of *Ueda+14*, *Aird+15*, *Buchner+15*.

*See Rosas-Guevara+16 for the EAGLE simulation.* 



Shaded regions combine the observational constraints of *Ueda+14*, *Aird+15*, *Buchner+15*.

*See Rosas-Guevara+16 for the EAGLE simulation.* 



Shaded regions combine the observational constraints of *Ueda+14*, *Aird+15*, *Buchner+15*.

- Simulations produce different populations of AGN.
- > Have a hard time reproducing both the *faint & bright* and *low-z & high-z* AGN number densities.

Constraining the bulk of the BH population with future X-ray observatories (Athena, AXIS, Lynx)



Nandra+13, Aird+13, LynX Team Report 2018, Mushotzky+19, Marchesi+20





> Athena (AXIS/Lynx) will observe a significant fraction of the AGN population at high redshift.



An order of magnitude discrepancy between the simulations for the number of detectable AGN.



#### Detections with Athena / AXIS / Lynx

Habouzit+22a, Habouzit+ (in prep)



Athena's AGN located in galaxies with  $M_{star} = 10^{9.5} - 10^{10.5} M_{sun}$ . More detections in lower-mass galaxies if weaker SN feedback. Will constrain a combination of BH/galaxy physics (BH seeding, BH accretion, SN/AGN feedback).

Galaxy surveys: PRIMER, FRESCO, WDEEP, COSMOS Web.

Large-scale cosmological simulations

- > No consensus on BH, AGN, and dual AGN populations across cosmic times.
- > Key to prepare new observatories and maximize their scientific return.



- Constraints on the assembly of high-redshift quasars (BH and galaxy properties, environments).
- Constraints BH-galaxy co-evolution and bulk of the BH population ?



Athena, AXIS, LynX

- > Constraints on the bulk of the BH population ( $M_{BH}$ >10<sup>6</sup>  $M_{sun}$ , >10<sup>5</sup>  $M_{sun}$ ).
- Could constrain simulations' BH and galaxy subgrid physics if combined with future galaxy surveys.